/ .					
	1	DENNIS A. BARLOW, CITY ATTORNE State Bar No. 63849	Y		
	2	CAROL A. HUMISTON, SR. ASST. CITY State Bar No. 115592	ATTY		
·	3	275 East Olive Avenue P. O. Box 6459		CONFORMED OF ORIGINAL	. FILED
	4	Burbank, CA 91510 Tel: 818-238-5707 Fax: 818-238-5724		Los Angeles Supe	
	5	Kristin A. Pelletier, (SBN 155378)		MAY 122	010
	6	E-mail: kpelletier@bwslaw.com Robert J. Tyson (SBN 187311)		John A. Clacke, Executive By Bunothy SWAI	officer/Glark
2 130	7	E-mail: rtyson@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN 11P	ı	BUROTHY SWAI	N
បត្ត.	8	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2953			
	9	Tel: 213.236.0600 Fax: 213.236.2700			
	10	Attorneys for Defendant City of Burbank			
	11				
	12	SUPERIOR COURT OF			
	13 14	COUNTY	OF LOS AN	GELES	
	15	CUDICTODIED I DE DIDAY		-	
	16	CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN,	Ì	. BC 417928	
14,5	17	Plaintiff, v.	STATE	TY OF BURBANK'S MENT IN SUPPORT	OF
94	18	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT,	MOTIO	DANT CITY OF BUR N FOR SUMMARY J	JUDGMENT.
	19	CITY OF BURBANK, and DOES 1 Through 100, Inclusive,	ADJUDI	THE ALTERNATIVE ICATION	, SUMMARY
	20	Defendants.	Date: Time:	July 26, 2010 8:30 a.m.	2013 CC
	21		Dept.:	31	CITY ATTORNEY
	22			9 +	ATTO 18
	23			•	PM 5
	24	·			5: 51 FEY
	25				1 m
	26				
:	27				
SURKE, WILLIA	28	LA #4845-3053-4150 v1			
SORENSEN, I	LLP		-1-		
LOS ANGREES		BURBANK'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE MOT	TON FOR SUM	MARY JUDGMENT OR	ADJUDICATION

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7	4. In approximately July 2006, Dunn was promoted to the rank of detective and transferred into the Vice/Narcotics Unit. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 36:20-37:6 and 42:23-43:22.]	4.
8 9 0 1	5. In his work as a detective in Vice/Narcotics, Dunn became the handler for an informant for the BPD, "GD." [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 208:4-209:15.]	5.
2 3 4 5 6	6. On March 11, 2007, the Culver City Police Department ("CCPD") arrested an entertainer by the name of "JW" for drug possession. [Declaration of Micheal Webb ("Webb Decl."), Ex. G, p. 1.]	6.
7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5	7. JW implicated GD as a drug dealer who sells pounds of drugs. CCB Detective Charles Koffman began an investigation of GD. He ran GD's name through LA CLEAR, a multi-jurisdictional law enforcement database, where GD was registered as an informant for Dunn at BPD. [Declaration of Charles Koffman ("Koffman Decl."), ¶¶ 3-4, Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 1;	7.

¹ Privileges with respect to the identities of their respective informants are held by Burbank and Culver City. Because Culver City does not wish to waive its privilege, the City will identity both of the informants by initials only.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

 $^{^2}$ The best summary of calls for the Court's easy reference is at Exhibit W to Sgt. Misquez' Declaration. LA #4845-3053-4150 vI -4 -

	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
A man	the entertainment business, was male, had purchased a significant amount of narcotics from GD the night before, and claimed to have seen substantially more in GD's possession. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 4-5; Webb Decl., Ex. G, pp. 1-2; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 93:18-94:25, 100:16-102:11.]	
	11. According to Det. Koffman, he asked Dunn during their phone conversation if Dunn wanted him to not arrest GD, and Dunn replied "No I wish you wouldn't." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 5; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.]	11.
	12. Det. Koffman says he next said "Let me get this straight. You know your informant is selling narcotics and you don't want me to arrest her" to which Dunn responded "Yes." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 5; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.] ³	12.
	13. Dunn next called his supervisor, Sgt. Jose Duran, at 2:12 p.m. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W (Call Timeline), pp. 1, 2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 14, Ex. B, p.	13.

³ Dunn disputes his response to Det. Koffman. However, that is beside the point for purposes of this Motion. Here, what matters is what Det. Koffman told the BPD about Dunn, as it relates to the BPD's motive to terminate Dunn's employment.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

M	IOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED IATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING VIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
1;	Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1; Pelletier	
D	ecl., Ex. GG, p. 105:20-106:1.]	
14	Dunn told Sgt. Duran about CCPD's	14.
in	vestigation of GD. Sgt. Duran told Dunn	
to	tell CCPD that if they had information	
th	at GD was dealing drugs, they should	
pı	coceed with their investigation. [Pelletier	·
D	ecl., Ex. HH, 261:4-262:4.]	
15	5. Meanwhile, Det. Koffman called his	15,
su	pervisor, Sgt. Webb, as he was concerned	
th	at Dunn's request not to proceed against	
G	D might be illegal. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 5-	
6;	Webb Decl., Ex. G, pp. 1-2.]	
16	Sgt. Webb called Dunn at 2:17 and	16.
2:	18 p.m., but had to leave a voicemail	
m	essage. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2;	
Le	ewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. B, p.	
1;	Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	
17	Immediately after talking to Sgt.	17.
D	uran, Dunn called GD at 2:15 p.m., but the	
ca	Il did not connect. [Misquez Decl., Ex.	
w	, pp. 1-2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p.	
15	5, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	
18	At 2:16 p.m., Dunn called GD using	18.
hi	s father's cell phone, but did not include	

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8	the area code. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 14, Ex. C, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. L, p. 1.] 19. At 2:17 p.m., Dunn called GD with the full number on his father's phone. According to the phone records, that call	19.
9 0 1 2	lasted for three minutes. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. C, p. 1, Ex. F, p. 1; Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. H.]	
4 5 6 7	20. Beginning at 2:19 p.m., Dunn called Det. Koffman numerous times. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. M, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	20.
8 9 0 0 11 1 2 3 4	21. Dunn called Sgt. Webb at 2:25 p.m. and indicated, per Sgt. Duran, that if GD was dealing, CCPD should proceed with its operation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. M, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex., K, p. 1; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.]	21.
5 6 7 8	22. At 2:46 and 2:48 p.m., Dunn called GD again, this time using his sister's phone. According to the phone records, each of	22.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

-7-

ISE IN UMMARY
:
:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
call with GD, GD told Mercado that:	
"Chris" had just called, and told her that: a)	
he was at a picnic; b) a different agency had	
arrested a subject "in acting or something"	
who gave up GD; c) the subject had told the	
other agency that GD had pounds of drugs;	
d) Dunn told the other agency that GD	
would not have that quantity of narcotics;	
and e) the other agency did not care that GD	
was a BPD informant and was coming after	
her. GD also told Mercado that she knew	
who the subject was from Dunn's	
description. [Misquez Decl., Exs, X, Y, pp.	
1-4.]	
27. Later that day, at 5:22 p.m., unaware	27.
that Dunn had called GD, CCPD had JW	
call GD to attempt a controlled buy.	
[Koffman Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. N, p. 1; Webb	
Decl., Ex. G, p. 3; Misquez Decl., Ex. W,	
pp. 1, 3-4; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 13,	
Ex. E, p. 1.]	
28. CCPD Det. Koffman monitored the	28.
conversation and reported that JW told GD	
he had cash and wanted to buy drugs. GD	
declined to sell JW drugs, saying she was	

	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
:	"out." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3.]	
	29. According to Det. Koffman, JW was visibly surprised by this reaction, and immediately asked whether GD was aware of the operation. [Koffman Dec., ¶ 7; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	29.
	30. According to Det. Koffman, JW told him that it was the first time in his 3-4 years as a customer of GD's that she had not sold to him. Koffman also indicated that JW said that GD sounded uncharacteristically cold and flat on the telephone. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	30.
	31. According to Det. Koffman, he had to reassure JW that no one at CCPD had tipped off GD. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Lewandowski, Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	31.
	32. CCPD also had to call off its operation given GD's reaction. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3.]	32.
	33. At 5:24 p.m., immediately after JW called her and asked to buy drugs, GD called Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex. w pp. 1, 4;	33.

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 16, Ex. B, p. 1, Ex. F, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	
34. Dunn would later admit that GD told him that JW had just called her, but Dunn did not inform anyone at BPD or CCPD of this call. [Misquez Decl., Ex. FF, p. 8; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 10.]	34.
35. GD would later admit to CCPD detectives that she flushed her supply of narcotics following the calls from Dunn and JW on March 11, 2007. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(d), Ex. G, p. 6]	35.
36. GD called Mercado at 5:29 p.m on March 11, 2007. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W pp. 1, 4; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. E, p. 2; Koffman Decl., Ex. N, p. 2.]	36.
37. In a recorded interview with BPD that took place on April 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD, that during this March 11, 2007 call with GD, GD asked Mercado to run a computer search of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department ("LASD") arrest record website, where Mercado pulled up the information regarding JW's arrest and	37.

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
release on March 11, 2007. [Misquez Decl.,	
Exs., X, Y, pp. 4-6.]	
38. Although its attempt at a controlled	38.
buy with GD was unsuccessful, CCPD	
continued its investigation of GD. On	·
Friday, March 16, 2007, CCPD served a	
warrant at GD's residence, without running	
her name through LA CLEAR or warning	
Dunn. [Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. G, p. 3.]	
39. According to Sgt. Webb, upon being	39.
detained on March 16, 2007, GD blurted out	
"I know it was [JW] that gave me up, I know	
it's [JW]." And "Yeah, I knew you were	
with Culver City." [Webb Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. G,	
p. 3.]	
40. GD was arrested with 71 grams of	40.
narcotics, packaging and illegal proceeds	
from narcotics sales, and a cell phone. The	
register log for GD's cell phone showed an	
incoming phone call from "Chris Dunn" at	
310-633-1888 at 2:17 p.m. on March 11,	
2007 and a second incoming call from	
"Cris" at 310-339-4967 at 2:49 p.m. on	
March 11, 2007. [Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. G, p.	

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3, Ex. H.]	
41. Following her arrest on March 16,	41.
2007, GD was interviewed at the CCPD	
station by Sgt. Webb and Det. Koffman.	
During that interview (which was	
videotaped), GD told CCPD that BPD let	
her deal drugs in order to stay in touch with	,
the dealers she was informing on. [Webb	
Decl., ¶ 8(a), Ex. G, p. 4.]	
42. During her March 16, 2007	42.
interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that	
Dunn called her on Sunday, March 11 to	
warn her that another police agency was	
looking at her. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(c), Ex. G,	
p. 5, 6, 7-8.]	
43. During her March 16, 2007	43.
interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that	
Dunn had previously told her on several	
occasions that her name was in a police	·
database, so if another jurisdiction was	
looking at her, Dunn would be notified	
[Webb Decl., ¶ 8(c), Ex. G, p. 5.]	
44. During her March 16, 2007	44.
interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that	
she called Dunn on Tuesday or Wednesday	

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
(March 13 of March 14) to see if he wanted	
to monitor a buy she was planning with a	
drug dealer Dunn was targeting, that Dunn	
told her he was too tired and to go ahead	
with the buy without being monitored, and	
that the drugs recovered at her house on	
March 16 were what was left from that	
purchase. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(e), Ex. G, pp. 6-	
7.]	·
45. After GD's arrest, Det. Koffman	45.
made a "ruse" phone call to Dunn and told	
him that CCPD was just then preparing to	
serve a warrant on GD. [Webb Decl., Ex. G,	
p. 8.]	
46. Then Det. Koffman notified LA	46.
CLEAR, which also notified Dunn. [Webb	
Decl., Ex. G, p. 8,]	
47. Following the LA CLEAR	47.
notifications, CCPD had GD make a	
recorded call to Dunn from her cell phone.	
Dunn answered the call and told GD he	
would call her back in an hour. [Webb	
Decl., Ex. G, p. 8, Ex. J, p. 1.]	
48. Seven minutes later, Dunn called	48.
back from a "Blocked Number." GD told	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 14 -

MATI	ING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED ERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING ENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Dunn	that she had purchased drugs from the	
dealer	Dunn was targeting and still had	
"quite	a bit." She also said that she had	
gotten	another call from JW and asked if	
everyt	hing was okay. [Webb Decl., Ex. G,	
p. 8-9,	, Ex. J, p. 1-2.]	
49.	Dunn told GD, "I don't know those	49.
guys,	if you have, I don't know what's going	·
on, yo	ou know what I mean. If anything is	
going	on then you need to be careful."	
[Webl	b Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2.]	·
50.	The following exchange also took	50.
place:		
Dunn:	Now if you are dealing dope you can	·
	get busted, if you know what I mean.	
	If you are dealing you know you can	
	get busted right You understand?"	
GD:	Uh oh, in other words, clean up,	
	right?"	
Dunn:	Yes.	
[Webb	Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2.]	
51.	On March 29, 2007, Dunn notified	51.
his suj	pervisor that he had received a call	
from 1	Mercado, who told him that GD had	
been a	arrested and that CCPD was	

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 15 **-**

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
investigating him. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH,	
pp. 326:22- 327:19.]	
52. In a recorded interview with BPD on	52.
April 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD that	
Dunn's reaction to the information she	
conveyed to him on March 29, 2007 was to	
blurt out "Oh my God, oh my God." He	
also admitted that he had called to warn GD,	
but claimed that doing so was part of his job.	
[Misquez Decl., Exs. X-Y, pp. 15-16.]	
53. In a recorded interview with BPD on	53.
April 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD that,	
during their March 29, 2007 phone call,	
Dunn told Mercado to start writing down	
stuff, to make sure GD got an attorney right	
away, that GD should stop talking with	
CCPD, and that he would testify on GD's	
behalf. [Misquez Decl., Exs., X, Y, pp. 16-	
17.]	
54. On March 30, 2007, Dunn was	54.
transferred to Juvenile Division while his	
possible misconduct was investigated by	
BPD. [Stehr Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, Ex. O; Misquez	
Decl., Ex. EE p. 6; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG,	
pp. 65:6- 66:18, and Ex. 202 thereto.]	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 16 -

	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	55. On March 30, 2007, Dunn was	55.
	given a direct order not to discuss BPD's	
	investigation with anyone other than his	
	union or legal representatives. [Stehr or	
	Puglisi Decl., ¶ 3; Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p.	
	6.]	
	56. During his internal affairs interviews,	56.
	Dunn admitted that he spoke with both GD	
	and Mercado after being given the order not	
	to discuss the investigation, but claimed that,	
	if he did not share details of the	·
	investigation, it would not count as a	
	discussion. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 6;	
i	Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 255:3-259:8.]	
	57. Dunn admits that he spoke with and	57.
	asked questions of GD and her attorney	
	about what they knew related to the	
	investigation. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp.	
	257:22- 258:16.]	
	58. During her April 18, 2007 interview,	58.
	Nancy Mercado told BPD that Dunn told her	
	he was not supposed to speak to GD, but	
	that he had called GD at least once and	
	spoke to her anyway. [Misquez Decl., Exs.	·
	X, Y, pp. 17-18.]	

ł.
·
_

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 18 -

1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4	CCPD's investigation of her. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. Q.]	
5 6 7 8	64. BPD's internal investigation of Dunn was suspended pending the criminal investigation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 7; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 5.]	64.
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	65. Sgt. Victor Lewandoski of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department ("LASD") conducted a criminal investigation of Dunn, concluded that there was probable cause to believe Dunn had tipped GD and committed a crime, and presented the case to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office for filing consideration on July 6, 2007. [Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, ¶¶ 2-3.]	65.
9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6	66. Daniel Baker, the Deputy District Attorney assigned to the matter, felt that the case against Dunn was strong and that Dunn's conduct was egregious, but declined to prosecute Dunn because of the privileges applicable to GD and JW as informants. [Baker Decl., ¶¶ 2-3.]	66.
6 7 8	67. Shortly after the District Attorney advised BPD that he had declined to	67.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 19 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
prosecute, BPD resumed its administrative	
investigation of Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex.	
EE, p. 8.]	
68. During BPD's internal investigation,	68.
multiple witnesses were interviewed and	
numerous documents reviewed, including	
the LASD's criminal investigation and the	
phone records of Dunn, his family members,	
GD, CCPD and BPD personnel. Dunn was	·
interviewed by internal affairs on December	
18 and 27, 2007. [Misquez Decl., ¶¶ 2-10,	•
Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.]	
69. BPD's internal affairs investigation of	69.
Dunn was completed on March 6, 2008. by	
Sgt. Gerardo Misquez. Sgt. Misquez	
concluded that, among other things, Dunn	
had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation and	
in so doing violated California Penal Code §	
148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked	
about this during the internal affairs	
investigation, and had violated a direct order	
not to discuss the investigation. [Misquez	
Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.]	
70. On May 9, 2008, the Los Angeles	70.
County District Attorney's Office issued a	

ļ		,
2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	"Brady letter" to then-BPD Police Chief	
4	Tim Stehr regarding Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶	
5	7, Ex. T.]	
5	71. The Brady letter stated that the District	71.
	Attorney had determined that Dunn's	
3	conduct on and after March 11, 2007	
7	constituted "an obstruction of justice, an act	
)	involving moral turpitude." [Stehr Decl., ¶	
1	7, Ex. T.]	
2	72. The letter went on to state that, in	72.
3	both pending and closed cases involving	
1	Dunn, the defense would have to be notified	
5	that Dunn had tipped GD off to a pending	
6 7	criminal investigation of her by the CCPD.	
3	[Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	·
,	73. According to Deputy District Attorney	73.
0	Daniel Baker, this would make Dunn's	
	testimony of no value in a criminal	
2	proceeding, as his testimony could be	·
3	readily impeached. [Baker Decl., ¶ 4.;	
4	Stehr Decl., ¶ 7 and Ex. U, pp. 18-20.]	
5	74. Dunn was terminated from the BPD on	74.
6	July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr Decl., ¶ 8,	
,	Ex. U.]	•
3	75. Dunn was terminated because, among	75.
Šc.	LA #4845-3053-4150 v1	21 -
- 11	DY TOO A SHEET GERLIN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	

т II		
2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other things cited in his Notice of Termination, Dunn had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation and in so doing violated California <i>Penal Code</i> § 148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked about this during the internal affairs investigation, and had violated a direct order not to discuss the investigation. [Stehr Decl., Ex. U, pp. 12- 19.]	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	76. Following his termination, Dunn commenced an internal administrative appeal, pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Burbank and the Burbank Police Officers' Association ("MOU"). [Pelletier Decl., ¶¶ 4-5, Ex. II.]	76.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	77. An arbitrator was selected and dates picked for the hearing. The City engaged counsel who prepared for the hearing, but, on July 15, 2009, Dunn cancelled the hearing and abandoned his internal appeal, giving only a few day's notice. [Pelletier Decl., ¶ 4-7, Exs. JJ-KK.] 78. Dunn never raised any harassment or	78.
28	discrimination claims during his LA #4845-3053-4150 v1	22 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
investigation or termination. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U, pp. 20-21; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.] 79. At his deposition, Dunn admitted that he has no information that any of the outside agencies who reviewed his case, CCPD, LASD, and the District Attorney's office,	79.
reached their conclusions based on his race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 309:6-310:10.]	·
80. Dunn has no evidence that Sgt. Misquez reached the conclusions set forth in his internal affairs report based on Dunn's race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 311:11- 324:8; 325:17-326:3; 329:25-332:16.]	80.
81. Both the person who conducted the investigation of Dunn (Sgt. Misquez) and the person who made the decision to terminate him (Chief Stehr) did not have any knowledge of any complaint of discrimination, retaliation or harassment by Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]	81.
82. Dunn filed a charge with the Dept. of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") on May 27, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and Ex. B thereto; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-	82.

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 23 **-**

28

LA #4845-3053-4150 vI

- 24 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
BPD in the investigation of various crimes.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 28:3-7, 36:8-	·
13.]	
88. In approximately July 2006, Dunn was	88.
promoted to the rank of detective and	
transferred into the Vice/Narcotics Unit.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 36:20-37:6 and	
42:23- 43:22.]	
89. Dunn testified at deposition that he	89.
heard racial comments from Officer Sam	
Anderson, Sgt. Dan Yadon, Officer Chris	
Racina, and Officer Claudio Losaco.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 134:8-135:5.]	
90. On one occasion, a month or two	90.
before April 2007, Dunn says that Officer	·
Sam Anderson at an SRT (Special Response	
Team) training said, "You're going to be	
beat like WWII because you know we beat	
the Japs." [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 130:	
3-131:7.]	
91. Dunn also claimed that Anderson, on	91.
more than one occasion used "Jap" or "Nip"	
in talking about Dunn or his heritage, and	
used the terms "gooks", "Charlie" or "fish	
heads" in talking about Asians generally.	

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 135:1-137:5.]	
4	92. Anderson made these alleged	92.
5	comments while working with Dunn on	
6	Patrol from 2001-2003, while they were on	
7	SRT together, and while the two were	
8	friendly. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp.	
9	130:3-22, 135:16-136:7; Pelletier Decl. Ex.	
0	HH, pp. 184:3-185:20.]	
1	93. SRT was an extra assignment in	93.
2	addition to Dunn's regular job at SED or	
3	Narcotics, with occasional training sessions.	
4	[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 19:16-25,	
5	25:14-19, 43:18-22.]	
6 7	94. Dunn did not report any of	94.
8	Anderson's comments to a supervisor, nor	
9	did he say anything about this to Anderson,	
, 	despite being friendly with him. [Pelletier	
, 	Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 135:1-4; 137:23-25, Ex.	·
2	HH, pp. 184:3-185:20.]	·
3	95. Dunn also identified comments made	95.
1	by Sgt. Dan Yadon. According to Dunn,	
5	when Yadon was being teased about almost	
5	hitting a woman in a crosswalk, Yadon said	
7	"Well its not my fault. She's Asian. She	
8	could barely see at night." and "Right	
'∥		

1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPU MATERIAL FACT AND SUPP EVIDENCE	
3 4 5 6	Dunn. You can see right?" This 2005 or 2006. [Pelletier Decl., E 157:4-21, 158:10-13.] 96. Dunn also says that in disc	Ex. GG, pp.
7 8 9 10 11 12	Chinese restaurant Sgt., Yadon a you don't like your people's food when told Dunn was Japanese sa all the same." [Pelletier Decl., E 155:20-156:7.]	od?" and aid "Well, its
13 14 15 16 17 18	97. Dunn additionally said that occasion while Dunn was in SEI July 2006, Yadon also imitated a line—"Me love you long time"—Asian character from the movie Jacket" using the Asian characte [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 159]	D and before a famous — of an "Full Metal er's accent.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	98. Dunn testified that, in 2006 Chris Racina told him, "You known only been three Asian detective worked narcotics. One of them transvestite. The other one went Dunn understood that he was the [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 162]	ow, there's ves that became a t insane." e third one.
26 27 28	99. Dunn identified Officer Cla Losacco as saying in 2003 that h	

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
like Dunn because he had come over from	
the LAPD. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. A, pp.	
146:19-147:17 and 149:23-150:4.]	
100. Dunn also claimed that Officer	100.
Losacco mimicked accents of blacks and	
Armenians, but not of Dunn or Asians	
generally. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp.	
150:5-152:6.]	
101. April 18, 2007 was the last day Dunn	101.
physically worked at a BPD facility or in a	
BPD work environment. All of the race	
based comments Dunn supposedly heard	
occurred before he was put on administrative	
leave on April 18, 2007, over two years	
before he filed his DFEH Charge. [Pelletier	·
Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9-64:22 and ex. 201	
thereto, and p. 129:4-24); Stehr Decl., ¶ 4,	
Ex. P.]	
102. Dunn never made a complaint against	102.
any BPD officer due to their racial	
comments. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. B, pp.	
194:11-17, 197:6- 198:4, 203:23- 204:20,	
206:3-13.]	
103. Dunn testified that on one occasion he	103.
raised with Sgt. Murphy a dispute between	

1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	EVIDENCE	JODGIMENI
	Dunn and Sgt. Yadon about workload and	
4	sharing of duties among team members,	
5	without raising racial concerns. [Pelletier	
6	Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 139:10-142:10.]	·
7	104. Dunn never raised any harassment or	104.
8		104.
9	discrimination issues during his	
0	investigation or termination, or made any	·
1	other complaint to Chief Stehr or Sgt.	
2	Misquez until he filed his DFEH claim.	
-	[Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U, pp. 20-21; Misquez	
3	Decl., ¶ 9.]	
4	105. Dunn filed a charge with the Dept. of	105.
5	Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") on	
6	May 27, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and Ex. B	
7	thereto; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-	
8	69:24, and Ex. 203(B) thereto.]	
9	106. Dunn filed his government tort claim	106.
0	with the City on May 28, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19,	
1	and Ex. B thereto (indicates hand delivery	
2	and stamped received on May 28, 2009);	
:3	Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 70:11-71:8.]	
24	107. Dunn filed the instant action on July	107.
25	16, 2009. [FAC, p. 1.]	
26	10, 2007, [1710, p. 1.]	
27		

28

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 30 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
42:23- 43:22.]	
112. In his work as a detective in Vice/Narcotics, Dunn became the handler for an informant for the BPD, "GD."	112.
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 208:4-209:15.]	
113. On March 11, 2007, the Culver City Police Department ("CCPD") arrested an entertainer by the name of "JW" for drug possession. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 1.]	113.
114. JW implicated GD as a drug dealer who sells pounds of drugs. CCPD Detective Charles Koffman began an investigation of GD. He ran GD's name through LA CLEAR, a multi-jurisdictional law enforcement database, where GD was registered as an informant for Dunn at BPD. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 1; Pelletier Decl. Ex. GG, pp. 91:11-92:1; Declaration of Victor Lewandowski ("Lewandowski Decl."), Ex. A, p. 6.]	114.
115. LA CLEAR called Dunn at 1:59 p.m. on March 11, 2007. ⁵ [Misquez Decl., Ex. W (Call Timeline), pp. 1, 2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 13, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman	115.

 $^{^5}$ The best summary of calls for the Court's easy reference is at Exhibit W to Sgt. Misquez' Declaration. LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 -31 -

	G PARTY'S UNDISPUTED IAL FACT AND SUPPORTING ICE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Decl., Ex	z. K, p. 1.]	
116.	Dunn, who was at a park at a	116.
family pi	cnic, checked his messages at 2:03	
p.m., the	n called Det. Koffman at 2:04 p.m.	
[Misque:	z Decl., Ex. W (Call Timeline), pp.	
1, 2; Lev	vandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 13-14,	
Ex. B, p.	1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1;	
Pelletier	Decl., Ex. GG, p. 92:2-18.]	
117.	Det. Koffman explained to Dunn	117.
that CCF	D was preparing to conduct a	
"controll	ed buy" involving GD (i.e., to have	
their info	ormant purchase drugs from GD	•
while the	ey monitored the transaction).	
Accordin	ng to Det. Koffman, he discussed	
some of	the details of the operation with	
Dunn, in	cluding that the informant was in	
the enter	tainment business, was male, had	
purchase	d a significant amount of narcotics	
from GD	the night before, and claimed to	
have see	n substantially more in GD's	
possessi	on. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 4-5; Webb	
Decl., Ex	x. G, pp. 1-2; Pelletier Decl., Ex.	
GG, pp.	93:18-94:25, 100:16-102:11.]	
118. A	according to Det . Koffman, he	118.
asked Di	unn during their phone conversation	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 32 -

		-
1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if Dunn wanted him to not arrest GD, and Dunn replied "No I wish you wouldn't." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 5; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.] 119. Det. Koffman says he next said "Let me get this straight. You know your informant is selling narcotics and you don't want me to arrest her" to which Dunn responded "Yes." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 5; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.] ⁶	119.
13 14 15 16 17 18	120. Dunn next called his supervisor, Sgt. Jose Duran, at 2:12 p.m [Misquez Decl., Ex. W (Call Timeline), pp. 1, 2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 14, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, p. 105:20-106:1.]	120.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	121. Dunn told Sgt. Duran about CCPD's investigation of GD. Sgt. Duran told Dunn to tell CCPD that if they had information that GD was dealing drugs, they should proceed with their investigation. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, 261:4-262:4.]	121.
26	122. Meanwhile, Det. Koffman called	122.

⁶ Dunn disputes his response to Det. Koffman. However, that is beside the point for purposes of this Motion. Here, what matters is what Det. Koffman told the BPD about Dunn, as it relates to the BPD's motive to terminate Dunn's employment.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 33 -

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

27

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
his supervisor, Sgt. Webb, as he was concerned that Dunn's request not to proceed against GD might be illegal. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 5-6; Webb Decl., Ex. G, pp. 1-2.] 123. Sgt. Webb called Dunn at 2:17 and 2:18 p.m., but had to leave a voicemail message. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2,	123.
Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	
Duran, Dunn called GD at 2:15 p.m., but the call did not connect. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p.	124.
15, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	105
125. At 2:16 p.m., Dunn called GD using his father's cell phone, but did not include the area code. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 14, Ex. C, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. L, p. 1.]	125.
126. At 2:17 p.m., Dunn called GD with the full number on his father's phone. According to the phone records, that call lasted for three minutes. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A,	126.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 34 -

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4	p. 15, Ex. C, p. 1, Ex. F, p. 1; Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. H.]	
5 6 7 8	127. Beginning at 2:19 p.m., Dunn called Det. Koffman numerous times. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. M, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	127.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	128. Dunn called Sgt. Webb at 2:25 p.m. and indicated, per Sgt. Duran, that if GD was dealing, CCPD should proceed with its operation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. M, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex., K, p. 1; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.]	128.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	129. At 2:46 and 2:48 p.m., Dunn called GD again, this time using his sister's phone. According to the phone records, each of these calls lasted two minutes. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15-16, Ex. D, p. 2-3, Ex. F, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. O, pp. 2-3; Webb Decl.¶ 7, Ex. H.]	129.
25 26 27 28	130. Dunn's supervisor, Sgt. Duran, told Sheriff's investigators that he was surprised that Dunn called GD on March 11, 2007 and	130.

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
could offer no explanation for why he would	
do so. [Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 8.]	
131. Dunn did not tell anyone at BPD or	131.
CCPD that he had spoken with GD on	
March 11, 2007 or that GD was aware	
CCPD was coming after her. [Pelletier	
Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 275:11-276:21; Misquez	
Decl., Ex. EE, p. 5; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 3.]	
132. Immediately following Dunn's calls to	132.
her on March 11, 2007, GD telephoned her	
sister, Nancy Mercado. [Misquez Decl., Ex.	
W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. E, p. 1,	
Koffman Decl., Ex. N, p. 1.]	
133. In a recorded interview with BPD	133.
that took place on April 18, 2007, Mercado	
told BPD, that during this March 11, 2007	
call with GD, GD told Mercado that call	
with GD, GD told Mercado that: "Chris"	
had just called, and told her that: a) he was	
at a picnic; b) a different agency had arrested	
a subject "in acting or something" who gave	
up GD; c) the subject had told the other	
agency that GD had pounds of drugs; d)	
Dunn told the other agency that GD would	
not have that quantity of narcotics; and e)	

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
the other agency did not care that GD was a	
BPD informant and was coming after her.	
GD also told Mercado that she knew who	
the subject was from Dunn's description.	
[Misquez Decl., Exs, X, Y, pp. 1-4.]	
134. Later that day, at 5:22 p.m.,	134.
completely unaware that Dunn had called	
GD, CCPD had JW call GD to attempt a	
controlled buy. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. N,	
p. 1; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3; Misquez	
Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3-4; Lewandowski	
Decl., Ex. A, p. 13, Ex. E, p. 1.]	
135. CCPD Det. Koffman monitored the	135.
conversation and reported that JW told GD	
he had cash and wanted to buy drugs. GD	
declined to sell JW drugs, saying she was	
"out." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Webb Decl.,	·
Ex. G, p. 3.]	
136. According to Det. Koffman, JW was	136.
visibly surprised by this reaction, and	
immediately asked whether GD was aware	
of the operation. [Koffman Dec., ¶ 7;	
Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	
137. According to Det. Koffman, JW	137.
told him that it was the first time in his 3-4	

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
years as a customer of GD's that she had not	
sold to him. Koffman also indicated that JW	
said that GD sounded uncharacteristically	
cold and flat on the telephone. [Koffman	
Decl., ¶7; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3;	
Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	
138. According to Det. Koffman, he had to	138.
reassure JW that no one at CCPD had tipped	
off GD. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Lewandowski,	
Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	
139. CCPD also had to call off its operation	139.
given GD's reaction. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p.	
3.]	
140. At 5:24 p.m., immediately after JW	140.
called her and asked to buy drugs, GD called	
Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex. w pp. 1, 4;	• .
Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 16, Ex. B, p.	
1, Ex. F, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	
141. Dunn would later admit that GD told	141.
him that JW had just called her, but Dunn	
did not inform anyone at BPD or CCPD of	
this call. [Misquez Decl., Ex. FF, p. 8; Stehr	
Decl., Ex. U, p. 10.]	
142. GD would later admit to CCPD	142.
detectives that she flushed her supply of	
	_

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
narcotics following the calls from Dunn and	
JW on March 11, 2007. [Webb Decl., ¶	
8(d), Ex. G, p. 6]	
143. GD called Mercado at 5:29 p.m	143.
on March 11, 2007. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W	
pp. 1, 4; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. E, p. 2;	
Koffman Decl., Ex. N, p. 2.]	
144. In a recorded interview with BPD	144.
that took place on April 18, 2007, Mercado	
told BPD, that during this March 11, 2007	
call with GD, GD asked Mercado to run a	
computer search of the Los Angeles County	
Sheriff's Department ("LASD") arrest	
record website, where Mercado pulled up	
the information regarding JW's arrest and	
release on March 11, 2007. [Misquez Decl.,	
Exs., X, Y, pp. 4-6.]	
145. Although its attempt at a controlled	145.
buy with GD was unsuccessful, CCPD	
continued its investigation of GD. On	
Friday, March 16, 2007, CCPD served a	
warrant at GD's residence, without running	
her name through LA CLEAR or warning	·
Dunn. [Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. G, p. 3.]	

28

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
146. According to Sgt. Webb, upon being detained on March 16, 2007, GD blurted out	146.
"I know it was [JW] that gave me up, I know it's [JW]." And "Yeah, I knew you were with Culver City." [Webb Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. G, p. 3.]	
147. GD was arrested with 71 grams of narcotics, packaging and illegal proceeds from narcotics sales, and a cell phone. The register log for GD's cell phone showed an incoming phone call from "Chris Dunn" at 310-633-1888 at 2:17 p.m. on March 11, 2007 and a second incoming call from "Cris" at 310-339-4967 at 2:49 p.m. on March 11, 2007. [Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. G, p. 3, Ex. H.]	147.
148. Following her arrest on March 16, 2007, GD was interviewed at the CCPD station by Sgt. Webb and Det. Koffman. During that interview (which was videotaped), GD told CCPD that BPD let her deal drugs in order to stay in touch with the dealers she was informing on. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(a), Ex. G, p. 4.]	148.
149. During her March 16, 2007	149.

	·	
1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that Dunn called her on Sunday, March 11 to warn her that another police agency was looking at her. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(c), Ex. G, p. 5, 6, 7-8.] 150. During her March 16, 2007 interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that Dunn had previously told her on several occasions that her name was in a police database, so if another jurisdiction was looking at her, Dunn would be notified [Webb Decl., ¶	150.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	151. During her March 16, 2007 interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that she called Dunn on Tuesday or Wednesday (March 13 of March 14) to see if he wanted to monitor a buy she was planning with a drug dealer Dunn was targeting, that Dunn told her he was too tired and to go ahead with the buy	151.
23 24 25 26 27 28	without being monitored, and that the drugs recovered at her house on March 16 were what was left from that purchase. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(e), Ex. G, pp. 6-7.] 152. After GD's arrest, Det. Koffman made a "ruse" phone call to Dunn and told	152.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 41 -

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8	him that CCPD was just then preparing to serve a warrant on GD. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 8.] 153. Then Det. Koffman notified LA CLEAR, which also notified Dunn. [Webb	153.
) 1 1 2 2 4	Decl., Ex. G, p. 8.] 154. Following the LA CLEAR notifications, CCPD had GD make a recorded call to Dunn from her cell phone. Dunn answered the call and told GD he would call her back in an hour. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 8, Ex. J, p. 1.]	154.
3	155. Seven minutes later, Dunn called back from a "Blocked Number." GD told Dunn that she had purchased drugs from the dealer Dunn was targeting and still had "quite a bit." She also said that she had gotten another call from JW, and asked if everything was okay. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2).]	155.
	156. Dunn told GD, "I don't know those guys, if you have, I don't know what's going on, you know what I mean. If anything is going on then you need to be careful." [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2.]	156.

URKE, WILLIAMS & LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

- 42 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
157. The following exchange also took	157.
place:	
Dunn: Now if you are dealing dope you can	
get busted, if you know what I mean.	
If you are dealing you know you can	
get busted right You understand?"	
GD: Uh oh, in other words, clean up,	
right?"	
Dunn: Yes.	
[Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2.]	
158. On March 29, 2007, Dunn notified his	158.
supervisor that he had received a call from	
Mercado, who told him that GD had been	
arrested and that CCPD was investigating	
him. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 326:22-	
327:19.]	
159. In a recorded interview with BPD on	159.
April 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD that	
Dunn's reaction to the information she	
conveyed to him on March 29, 2007 was to	
blurt out "Oh my God, oh my God," and to	
admit that he had called to warn GD, but	
claimed that doing so was part of his job.	
[Misquez Decl., Exs. X-Y, pp. 15-16.]	
160. In a recorded interview with BPD on	160.

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

BURBANK'S SEI

- 43 -

,		
1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	April 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD that, during their March 29, 2007 phone call, Dunn told Mercado to start writing down stuff, to make sure GD got an attorney right away, that GD should stop talking with CCPD, and that he would testify on GD's behalf. [Misquez Decl., Exs., X, Y, pp. 16-	
11 12 13 14 15 16	17.] 161. On March 30, 2007, Dunn was transferred to Juvenile Division while his possible misconduct was investigated by BPD. [Stehr Decl., ¶¶ 3- 4, Ex. O; Misquez Decl., Ex. EE p. 6; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 65:6- 66:18, and Ex. 202 thereto.]	161.
17 18 19 20 21 22	162. On March 30, 2007, Dunn was given a direct order not to discuss BPD's investigation with anyone other than his union or legal representatives. [Stehr or Puglisi Decl., ¶ 3; Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 6.]	162.
23 24 25 26 27 28	163. During his internal affairs interviews, Dunn admitted that he spoke with both GD and Mercado after being given the order not to discuss the investigation, but claimed that, if he did not share details of the	163.

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
investigation, it would not count as a	
discussion. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 6;	
Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 255:3-259:8.]	
164. Dunn admits that he spoke with and	164.
asked questions of GD and her attorney	
about what they knew related to the	
investigation. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp.	, · · · ·
257:22- 258:16.]	
165. During her April 18, 2007 interview,	165.
Nancy Mercado told BPD that Dunn told her	
he was not supposed to speak to GD, but	
that he had called GD at least once and	
spoke to her anyway. [Misquez Decl., Exs.	
X, Y, pp. 17-18.]	
166. In her April 18, 2007 interview,	166.
Nancy Mercado told BPD that she had a	
long conversation with Dunn, during which	
he asked if GD had an attorney yet. He also	
told her that he had been suspended.	
[Misquez Decl., Ex. X, Y, pp. 17-18.]	·
167. On April 18, 2007, Dunn was placed	167.
on paid administrative leave by the BPD.	·
[Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. P; Pelletier Decl., Ex.	
GG, pp. 59:9- 60:6 and Ex. 201 thereto.]	
168. April 18, 2007 was the last day Dunn	168.
1	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 45 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
physically worked at a BPD facility or in a	
BPD work environment. [Pelletier Decl.,	
Ex. GG, pp. 59:9- 64:22 and ex. 201 thereto;	
Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. P.]	
169. BPD's preliminary investigation	169.
revealed that Dunn may have engaged in	
illegal conduct. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p.	
7; Stehr Decl., ¶ 4.]	
170. In a letter dated May 8, 2007, then-	170.
Burbank Police Chief Thomas Hoefel asked	
LA County Sheriff Lee Baca, on behalf of	
BPD and CCPD Chief Don Pedersen, to	
conduct a criminal investigation into	
whether Dunn had warned GD about	
CCPD's investigation of her. [Stehr Decl., ¶	
4, Ex. Q.]	·
171. BPD's internal investigation of Dunn	171.
was suspended pending the criminal	
investigation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 7;	
Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 5.]	
172. Sgt. Victor Lewandoski of the Los	172.
Angeles County Sheriff's Department	
conducted a criminal investigation of Dunn,	
concluded that there was probable cause to	
believe Dunn had tipped GD and committed	

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	a crime, and presented the case to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office for filing consideration on July 6, 2007 [Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, ¶¶ 2-3.] 173. Daniel Baker, the Deputy District Attorney assigned to the matter, felt that the case against Dunn was strong and Dunn's conduct was egregious, but declined to prosecute Dunn because of the privileges applicable to GD and JW as informants. [Baker Decl., ¶¶ 2-3.]	173.
4 5 6 7 8	174. Shortly after the District Attorney advised BPD that he had declined to prosecute, BPD resumed its administrative investigation of Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 8.]	174.
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	175. During BPD's internal investigation, multiple witnesses were interviewed and numerous documents reviewed, including the LASD's criminal investigation and the phone records of Dunn, his family members, GD, CCPD and BPD personnel. Dunn was interviewed by internal affairs on December 18 and 27, 2007. [Misquez Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.]	175.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 47 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
176. BPD's internal affairs investigation of Dunn was completed on March 6, 2008. by Sgt. Gerardo Misquez. Sgt. Misquez concluded that, among other things, Dunn had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation and in so doing violated California <i>Penal Code</i> § 148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked about this during the internal affairs investigation, and had violated a direct order not to discuss the investigation. [Misquez	176.
Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.] 177. On May 9, 2008, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office issued a "Brady letter" to then-BPD Police Chief Tim Stehr regarding Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	177.
178. The Brady letter stated that the District Attorney had determined that Dunn's conduct on and after March 11, 2007 constituted "an obstruction of justice, an act involving moral turpitude." [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.] 179. The letter went on to state that, in	178. 179.
both pending and closed cases involving Dunn, the defense would have to be notified	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 48 -

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that Dunn had tipped GD off to a pending criminal investigation of her by the CCPD. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.] 180. According to Deputy District Attorney Daniel Baker, this would make Dunn's testimony of no value in a criminal proceeding, as it could be readily impeached. [Baker Decl., ¶ 4.; Stehr Decl., ¶ 7 and Ex. U, pp. 18-20.] 181. Dunn was terminated from the BPD on July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U.] 182. Dunn was terminated because, among	180. 181. 182.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	other things cited in his Notice of Termination, Dunn had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation and in so doing violated California Penal Code § 148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked about this during the internal affairs investigation, and had violated a direct order not to discuss the investigation. [Stehr Decl., Ex. U, pp. 12- 19.] 183. Following his termination, Dunn commenced an internal administrative appeal, pursuant to the Memorandum of	183.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 49 -

:	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	Understanding between the City of Burbank and the Burbank Police Officers' Association ("MOU"). [Pelletier Decl., ¶¶ 4-5, Ex. II.] 184. An arbitrator was selected and dates picked for the hearing. The City engaged counsel who prepared for the hearing, but, on July 15, 2009, Dunn cancelled the hearing and abandoned his internal appeal, giving only a few day's notice. [Pelletier	184.
	Decl., ¶ 4-7, Exs. JJ-KK.] 185. Dunn never raised any harassment or discrimination claims during his investigation or termination. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U, pp. 20-21; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]	185.
	186. At his deposition, Dunn admitted that he has no information that any of the outside agencies who reviewed his case, CCPD, LASD, and the District Attorney's office, reached their conclusions based on his race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 309:6-310:10.]	186.
	187. Dunn has no evidence that Sgt. Misquez reached the conclusions set forth in his internal affairs report based on Dunn's race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 311:11-	187.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 50 -

1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	324:8; 325:17-326:3; 329:25-332:16.]	
4	188. Dunn never made any complaints against any BPD officer due to their racial comments. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. B, pp. 194:11-17, 197:6-198:4, 203:23-204:20, 206:3-13.]	188.
	189. Both the person who conducted the investigation of Dunn (Sgt. Misquez) and the person who made the decision to terminate him (Chief Stehr) did not have any knowledge of any complaint of discrimination, retaliation or harassment by Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]	189.
	190. Dunn filed a charge with the Dept. of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") on May 27, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and Ex. B thereto; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-69:24, and Ex. 203(B) thereto.]	190,
	191. Dunn filed his government tort claim with the City of Burbank on May 28, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and Ex. B thereto (indicates hand delivery and stamped received on May 28, 2009); Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 70:11-71:8.]	191.

- 51 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
192. Dunn filed the instant action on July 16, 2009. [FAC, p. 1.]	192.
ISSUE 4—There is no dispute of material i	fact that the City is entitled to judgment on th
fourth cause of action for Failure to Take S	Steps to Prevent Discrimination and
Harassment in Violation of Government Co	ode § 12940(j)(1), and (k) because plaintiff
cannot produce a triable issue of fact to sup	pport a claim for discrimination, harassment,
or retaliation.	·
193. Dunn is a former officer of the	193.
Burbank Police Department ("BPD"), who	
is allegedly half Japanese. [FAC, ¶ 1;	
Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 56:21-57:7.]	
194. Dunn worked Patrol from 2001 to	194.
2003. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, p. 135:19-	
23.]	
195. In November of 2003, Dunn was	195.
assigned to the Special Enforcement Detail	·
of the BPD. This was a specialized unit that	
supported the investigation division of the	
BPD in the investigation of various crimes.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 28:3-7, 36:8-	·
13.]	
196. In approximately July 2006, Dunn	196.
was promoted to the rank of detective and	
transferred into the Vice/Narcotics Unit.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 36:20-37:6 and	

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
42:23- 43:22.]	
197. In his work as a detective in	197.
Vice/Narcotics, Dunn became the handler	
for an informant for the BPD, "GD."	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 208:4-209:15.]	
198. On March 11, 2007, the Culver	198.
City Police Department ("CCPD") arrested	
an entertainer by the name of "JW" for drug	
possession. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 1.]	
199. JW implicated GD as a drug	199.
dealer who sells pounds of drugs. Culver	i
City Detective Charles Koffman began an	
investigation of GD. He ran GD's name	·
through LA CLEAR, a multi-jurisdictional	
law enforcement database, where GD was	
registered as an informant for Dunn at BPD.	·
[Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, Webb Decl., Ex. G,	
p. 1; Pelletier Decl. Ex. GG, pp. 91:11-92:1;	
Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 6.]	
200. LA CLEAR called Dunn at 1:59	200.
p.m. ⁸ [Misquez Decl., Ex. W (Call	
Timeline), pp. 1, 2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex.	
A, p. 13, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K,	
p. 1.]	

 $^{^{8}}$ The best summary of calls for the Court's easy reference is at Exhibit W to Sgt. Misquez' Declaration. LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 53 -

1	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN
2	MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4	201. Dunn, who was at a park at a family	201.
5	picnic, checked his messages at 2:03 p.m.,	
6	then called Det. Koffman at 2:04 p.m.	
7	[Misquez Decl., Ex. W (Call Timeline), pp.	
8	1, 2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 13-14,	
9	Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1;	
10	Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, p. 92:2-18.]	
11	202. Det. Koffman explained to Dunn	202.
12	that CCPD was preparing to conduct a "controlled buy" involving GD (i.e., to have	
13	their informant purchase drugs from GD	
14	while they monitored the transaction).	
15	According to Det. Koffman, he discussed	
16	some of the details of the operation with	
17 18	Dunn, including that the informant was in	
19	the entertainment business, was male, had	
20	purchased a significant amount of narcotics	
21	from GD the night before, and claimed to	
22	have seen substantially more in GD's	
23	possession. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 4-5; Webb	
24	Decl., Ex. G, pp. 1-2; Pelletier Decl., Ex.	
25	GG, pp. 93:18-94:25, 100:16-102:11.] 203. According to Det. Koffman, he	202
26	203. According to Det . Koffman , he asked Dunn during their phone conversation	203.
27	if Dunn wanted him to not arrest GD, and	
28		

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 54 -

1	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN
2	EVIDENCE	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	Dunn replied "No I wish you wouldn't."	
4	[Koffman Decl., ¶ 5; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p.	
5	2.]	
6 7	204. Det. Koffman says he next said "Let	204.
8	me get this straight. You know your	
9	informant is selling narcotics and you don't	
10	want me to arrest her" to which Dunn	
11	responded "Yes." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 5;	
12	Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.] ⁹	
13	205. Dunn next called his supervisor	205.
14	Sgt. Jose Duran at 2:12 p.m [Misquez	
15	Decl., Ex. W (Call Timeline), pp. 1, 2;	
16	Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 14, Ex. B, p.	
17	1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1; Pelletier	
18	Decl., Ex. GG, p. 105:20-106:1.]	
19	206. Dunn told Sgt. Duran about	206.
20	CCPD's investigation of GD. Sgt. Duran	
21	told Dunn to tell CCPD that if they had	
22	information that GD was dealing drugs, they	
23	should proceed with their investigation.	
24	[Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, 261:4-262:4.]	
25	207. Meanwhile, Det. Koffman called	207.
26	his supervisor, Sgt. Webb, as he was	·

⁹ Dunn disputes this portion of his conversation with Det. Koffman. However, that is beside the point for purposes of this Motion. Here, what matters is what Det. Koffman told the BPD about Dunn, as it relates to the BPD's motive to terminate Dunn's employment.

27

28

Burke, Williams &

SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law

LOS ANGELES

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
concerned that Dunn's request not to proceed against GD might be illegal. [Koffman Decl., ¶¶ 5-6; Webb Decl., Ex. G, pp. 1-2.]	
208. Sgt. Webb called Dunn at 2:17 and 2:18 p.m., but had to leave a voicemail message. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	208.
209. Immediately after talking to Sgt. Duran, Dunn called GD at 2:15 p.m., but the call did not connect. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. B, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	209.
210. At 2:16 p.m., Dunn called GD using his father's cell phone, but did not include the area code. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 14, Ex. C, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. L, p. 1.]	210.
211. At 2:17 p.m., Dunn called GD with the full number on his father's phone. According to the phone records, that call lasted for three minutes. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1-2, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. C, p. 1, Ex. F, p. 1; Webb Decl., ¶	211.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1 - 56 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
7, Ex. H.]	
212. Beginning at 2:19 p.m., Dunn called Det. Koffman numerous times. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. M, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.] 213. Dunn called Sgt. Webb at 2:25 p.m. and indicated, per Sgt. Duran, that if GD was dealing, CCPD should proceed with its operation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15, Ex. M, p.	212.
1; Koffman Decl., Ex., K, p. 1; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 2.] 214. At 2:46 and 2:48 p.m., Dunn called GD again, this time using his sister's phone. According to the phone records, each of these calls lasted two minutes. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 15-16, Ex. D, p. 2-3, Ex. F, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. O, pp. 2-3; Webb Decl.¶ 7, Ex. H.]	214.
215. Dunn's supervisor, Sgt. Duran, told sheriff's investigators that he was surprised that Dunn called GD on March 11, 2007 and could offer no explanation for why he would	215.

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
do so. [Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 8).]	
216. Dunn did not tell anyone at BPD or	216.
CCPD that he had spoken with GD on	
March 11, 2007 or that GD was aware	
CCPD was coming after her. [Pelletier	•
Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 275:11-276:21; Misquez	
Decl., Ex. EE, p. 5; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 3.]	
217. Immediately following Dunn's calls to	217.
her on March 11, 2007, GD telephoned her	
sister, Nancy Mercado. [Misquez Decl., Ex.	
W, pp. 1, 3, Lewandowski Decl., Ex. E, p. 1,	
Koffman Decl., Ex. N, p. 1.]	
218. In a recorded interview with BPD	218.
that tool place on April 18, 2007, Mercado	
told BPD, that during this March 11, 2007	
call with GD, GD told Mercado that call	
with GD, GD told Mercado that: "Chris"	
had just called, and told her that: a) he was	
at a picnic; b) a different agency had arrested	
a subject "in acting or something" who gave	
up GD; c) the subject had told the other	
agency that GD had pounds of drugs; d)	
Dunn told the other agency that GD would	
not have that quantity of narcotics; and e)	
the other agency did not care that GD was a	

28

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 58 -

_		
2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BPD informant and was coming after her. GD also told Mercado that she knew who the subject was from Dunn's description. [Misquez Decl., Exs, X, Y, pp. 1-4.] 219. Later that day, at 5:22 p.m., completely unaware that Dunn had called GD, CCPD had JW call GD to attempt a controlled buy. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. N, p. 1; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3; Misquez	219.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Decl., Ex. W, pp. 1, 3-4; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 13, Ex. E, p. 1.] 220. CCPD Det. Koffman monitored the conversation and reported that JW told GD he had cash and wanted to buy drugs. GD declined to sell JW drugs, saying she was "out." [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3.]	220.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	221. According to Det. Koffman, JW was visibly surprised by this reaction, and immediately asked whether GD was aware of the operation. [Koffman Dec., ¶ 7; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.] 222. According to Det. Koffman, JW told him that it was the first time in his 3-4 years as a customer of GD's that she had not sold	221.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 59 -

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to him. Koffman also indicated that JW said that GD sounded uncharacteristically cold and flat on the telephone. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.] 223. According to Det. Koffman, he had to reassure JW that no one at CCPD had tipped	223.
11 12	off GD. [Koffman Decl., ¶ 7; Lewandowski, Decl., Ex. A, pp. 5-6.]	
13 14	224. CCPD also had to call off its operation given GD's reaction. [Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 3.]	224.
15 16 17 18 19	225. At 5:24 p.m., immediately after JW called her and asked to buy drugs, GD called Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex. w pp. 1, 4; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, p. 16, Ex. B, p. 1, Ex. F, p. 1; Koffman Decl., Ex. K, p. 1.]	225.
2021222324	226. Dunn would later admit that GD told him that JW had just called her, but Dunn did not inform anyone at BPD or CCPD of this call. [Misquez Decl., Ex. FF, p. 8; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 10.]	226.
25262728	227. GD would later admit to CCPD detectives that she flushed her supply of narcotics following the calls from Dunn and	227.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 60 -

	·	·
1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5	JW on March 11, 2007. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(d), Ex. G, p. 6]	
6 7 8	228. GD called Mercado at 5:29 p.m on March 11, 2007. [Misquez Decl., Ex. W pp. 1, 4; Lewandowski Decl., Ex. E, p. 2; Koffman Decl., Ex. N, p. 2.]	228.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that took place on April 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD, that during this March 11, 2007 call with GD, GD asked Mercado to run a computer search of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department ("LASD") arrest record website, where Mercado pulled up the information regarding JW's arrest and release on March 11, 2007. [Misquez Decl., Exs., X, Y, pp. 4-6.]	229.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	230. Although its attempt at a controlled buy with GD was unsuccessful, CCPD continued its investigation of GD. On Friday, March 16, 2007, CCPD served a warrant at GD's residence, without running her name through LA CLEAR or warning Dunn. [Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. G, p., 3.]	230.
27	231. According to Sgt. Webb, upon being	231.

28

		<u>·</u>
1	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	detained on March 16, 2007, GD blurted out	
4	"I know it was [JW] that gave me up, I know	
5	it's [JW]." And "Yeah, I knew you were	·
6	with Culver City." [Webb Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. G,	
7	p. 3.]	
8	232. GD was arrested with 71 grams of	232.
9	narcotics, packaging and illegal proceeds	
10	from narcotics sales, and a cell phone. The	
11	register log for GD's cell phone showed an	
12	incoming phone call from "Chris Dunn" at	
13	310-633-1888 at 2:17 p.m. on March 11,	
14	2007 and a second incoming call from	
15	"Cris" at 310-339-4967 at 2:49 p.m. on	
16	March 11, 2007. [Webb Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. G, p.	
17	3, Ex. H.]	
18	233. Following her arrest on March 16,	233.
19	2007, GD was interviewed at the CCPD	
20	station by Sgt. Webb and Det. Koffman.	
21	During that interview (which was	
22	videotaped), GD told CCPD that BPD let	
23	her deal drugs in order to stay in touch with	
24	the dealers she was informing on. [Webb	
2526	Decl., ¶ 8(a), Ex. G, p. 4.]	
27	234. During her March 16, 2007	234.
28	interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that	
4/U		

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 62 -

j		
2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dunn called her on Sunday, March 11 to warn her that another police agency was looking at her. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(c), Ex. G, p. 5, 6, 7-8.] 235. During her March 16, 2007 interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that Dunn had previously told her on several occasions that her name was in a police database, so if another jurisdiction was looking at her, Dunn would be notified [Webb Decl., ¶	235.
	8(c), Ex. G, p. 5.]	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	236. During her March 16, 2007 interview with CCPD, GD told CCPD that she called Dunn on Tuesday or Wednesday (March 13 of March 14) to see if he wanted to monitor a buy she was planning with a drug dealer Dunn was targeting, that Dunn told her he was too tired and to go ahead with the buy without being monitored, and that the drugs recovered at her house on March 16 were what was left from that purchase. [Webb Decl., ¶ 8(e), Ex. G, pp. 6-7.]	236.
25	237. After GD's arrest, Det. Koffman	237.
26	made a "ruse" phone call to Dunn and told	
2728	him that CCPD was just then preparing to	
	ll .	

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTIN EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
serve a warrant on GD. [Webb Decl., E	Ex. G,
p. 8.]	
238. Then Det. Koffman notified LA	238.
CLEAR, which also notified Dunn. [W	⁷ ebb
Decl., Ex. G, p. 8.]	
239. Following the LA CLEAR	239.
notifications, CCPD had GD make a	
recorded call to Dunn from her cell pho	one.
Dunn answered the call and told GD he	,
would call her back in an hour. [Webb	
Decl., Ex. G, p. 8, Ex. J, p. 1.]	
240. Seven minutes later, Dunn called l	back 240.
from a "Blocked Number." GD told Dr	unn
that she had purchased drugs from the d	lealer
Dunn was targeting and still had "quite	a
bit." She also said that she had gotten	
another call from JW, and asked if	
everything was okay. [Webb Decl., Ex	a. G,
p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2.]	
241. Dunn told GD, "I don't know th	ose 241.
guys, if you have, I don't know what's	going
on, you know what I mean. If anything	; is
going on then you need to be careful."	
[Webb Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2	2.]
242. The following exchange also tool	k 242.

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

	NG PARTY'S UNDISPUTED ERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING ENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
EVIDI place: Dunn: GD; Dunn: [Webb 243. G superv	Now if you are dealing dope you can get busted, if you know what I mean. If you are dealing you know you can get busted right You understand?" Uh oh, in other words, clean up, right?" Yes. Decl., Ex. G, p. 8-9, Ex. J, p. 1-2.] On March 29, 2007, Dunn notified his visor that he had received a call from	
Merca arreste	do, who told him that GD had been ed and that CCPD was investigating [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 326:22-	
244. April : Dunn' convey blurt of admit	In a recorded interview with BPD on 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD that is reaction to the information she yed to him on March 29, 2007 was to out "Oh my God, oh my God," and to that he had called to warn GD, but ed that doing so was part of his job. Lucz Decl., Exs. X-Y, pp. 15-16.]	244.
245.	In a recorded interview with BPD on 18, 2007, Mercado told BPD that,	245.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 65 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
during their March 29, 2007 phone call, Dunn told Mercado to start writing down	,
stuff, to make sure GD got an attorney right	
away, that GD should stop talking with CCPD, and that he would testify on GD's	
behalf. [Misquez Decl., Exs., X, Y, pp. 16-	
17.] 246. On March 30, 2007, Dunn was	246.
transferred to Juvenile Division while his possible misconduct was investigated by	
BPD. [Stehr Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, Ex. O; Misquez	
Decl., Ex. EE p. 6; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 65:6- 66:18, and ex. 202 thereto.]	
247. On March 30, 2007, Dunn was given	247.
a direct order not to discuss BPD's investigation with anyone other than his	
union or legal representatives. [Stehr or	
Puglisi Decl., ¶ 3; Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 6.]	
248. During his internal affairs	248.
interviews, Dunn admitted that he spoke with both GD and Mercado after being given	
the order not to discuss the investigation, but	
claimed that, if he did not share details of the	
investigation, it would not count as a	<u> </u>

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 66 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
discussion. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 6; Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 255:3-259:8.]	
249. Dunn admits that he spoke with and asked questions of GD and her attorney about what they knew related to the investigation. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 257:22-258:16.]	249.
250. During her April 18, 2007 interview, Nancy Mercado told BPD that Dunn told her he was not supposed to speak to GD, but that he had called GD at least once and spoke to her anyway. [Misquez Decl., Exs. X, Y, pp. 17-18.]	250.
251. In her April 18, 2007 interview, Nancy Mercado told BPD that she had a long conversation with Dunn, during which he asked if GD had an attorney yet. He also told her that he had been suspended. [Misquez Decl., Ex. X, Y, pp. 17-18.]	251.
252. On April 18, 2007, Dunn was placed on paid administrative leave by the BPD. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. P; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9-60:6 and ex. 201 thereto.]	252.
253. April 18, 2007 was the last day Dunn physically worked at a BPD facility or in a	253.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 67 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
BPD work environment. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9- 64:22 and ex. 201 thereto; Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. P.]	
254. BPD's preliminary investigation revealed that Dunn may have engaged in illegal conduct. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 7; Stehr Decl., ¶ 4.]	254.
255. In a letter dated May 8, 2007, then-Burbank Police Chief Thomas Hoefel asked LA County Sheriff Lee Baca, on behalf of BPD and CCPD Chief Don Pedersen, to conduct a criminal investigation into whether Dunn had warned GD about CCPD's investigation of her. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. Q.]	255.
256. BPD's internal investigation of Dunn was suspended pending the criminal investigation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 7; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 5.]	256.
257. Sgt. Victor Lewandoski of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department conducted a criminal investigation of Dunn, concluded that there was probable cause to believe Dunn had tipped GD and committed a crime, and presented the case to the Los	257.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 68 -

	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	Angeles County District Attorney's Office for filing consideration on July 6, 2007. [Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, ¶¶ 2-3.]	
:	258. Daniel Baker, the Deputy District Attorney assigned to the matter, felt that the case was strong and Dunn's conduct was egregious, but declined to prosecute Dunn because of the privileges applicable to GD and JW as informants. [Baker Decl., ¶¶ 2-3.]	258.
	259. Shortly after the District Attorney advised BPD that he had declined to prosecute, BPD resumed its administrative investigation of Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 8.]	259.
	260. During BPD's internal investigation, multiple witnesses were interviewed and numerous documents reviewed, including the LASD's criminal investigation and the phone records of Dunn, his family members, GD, CCPD and BPD personnel. Dunn was interviewed by internal affairs on December 18 and 27, 2007. [Misquez Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.]	260.
	261. BPD's internal affairs investigation of Dunn was completed on March 6, 2008. by	261.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 69 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Sgt. Gerardo Misquez. Sgt. Misquez concluded that, among other things, Dunn had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation are in so doing violated California <i>Penal Code</i> 148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked about this during the internal affairs investigation, and had violated a direct order not to discuss the investigation. [Misquez	nd e §
Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.] 262. On May 9, 2008, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office issued a "Brady letter" to then-BPD Police Chief Tim Stehr regarding Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	
263. The letter stated that the District Attorney had determined that Dunn's conduct on and after March 11, 2007 constituted "an obstruction of justice, an a involving moral turpitude." [Stehr Decl., 7, Ex. T.]	
264. The letter went on to state that, in both pending and closed cases involving Dunn, the defense would have to be notifit that Dunn had tipped GD off to a pending criminal investigation of her by the CCPD	ed

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 70 -

2	MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	[Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	
4	265. According to Deputy District	265.
5	Attorney Daniel Baker, this would make	
7	Dunn's testimony of no value in a criminal	
8	proceeding, as it could be readily	
9	impeached. [Baker Decl., ¶ 4.; Stehr Decl.,	
10	¶ 7 and Ex. U, pp. 18-20;]	
11	266. Dunn was terminated from the BPD	266.
12	on July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr Decl., ¶	
13	8, Ex. U.]	
14	267. Dunn was terminated because, among	267.
15	other things cited in his Notice of	
16	Termination, Dunn had tipped GD to	
17	CCPD's investigation and in so doing	
18	violated California Penal Code § 148(a)(1),	
19	had been untruthful when asked about this	
20	during the internal affairs investigation, and	
21	had violated a direct order not to discuss the	
22	investigation. [Stehr Decl., Ex. U, pp. 12-	
23	19.]	
24	268. Following his termination, Dunn	268.
25	commenced an internal administrative	·
26	appeal, pursuant to the Memorandum of	
27	Understanding between the City of Burbank	
28	and the Burbank Police Officers'	

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4	Association ("MOU"). [Pelletier Decl., ¶¶ 4-5, Ex. II]	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	269. An arbitrator was selected and dates picked for the hearing. The City engaged counsel who prepared for the hearing, but, on July 15, 2009, Dunn cancelled the hearing and abandoned his internal appeal, giving only a few day's notice. [Pelletier Decl., ¶ 4-7, Exs. JJ- KK.]	269.
12 13 14 15	270. Dunn never raised any harassment or discrimination claims during his investigation or termination. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U, pp. 20-21; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]	270.
16 17 18 19 20 21	271. At his deposition, Dunn admitted that he has no information that any of the outside agencies who reviewed his case, CCPD, LASD, and the District Attorney's office reached their conclusions based on his race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 309:6-310:10.]	271.
222324252627	272. Dunn has no evidence that Sgt. Misquez reached the conclusions set forth in his internal affairs report based on Dunn's race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 311:11-324:8; 325:17-326:3; 329:25-332:16.]	272.
28	273. Both the person who conducted the	273. 72 -

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
Attorneys At Law
Los Angeles

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
investigation of Dunn (Sgt. Misquez) and	
the person who made the decision to	
terminate him (Chief Stehr) did not have any	· ·
knowledge of any complaint of	
discrimination, retaliation or harassment by	
Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8; Misquez Decl., ¶	
9.]	
274. Dunn testified at deposition that he	274.
heard racial comments from Officer Sam	
Anderson, Sgt. Dan Yadon, Officer Chris	
Racina, and Officer Claudio Losaco.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 134:8- 135:5.]	
275. On one occasion, a month or two	275.
before April 2007, Dunn says that Officer	
Sam Anderson at an SRT (Special Response	
Team) training said, "You're going to be	
beat like WWII because you know we beat	
the Japs." [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 130:	
3-131:7.]	
276. Dunn also claimed that Anderson, on	276.
more than one occasion used "Jap" or "Nip"	
in talking about Dunn or his heritage, and	
used the terms "gooks", "Charlie" or "fish	
heads" in talking about Asians generally.	
[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 135:1-137:5.]	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 73 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
277. Anderson made these alleged comments while working with Dunn on patrol from 2001-2003, while they were on SRT together, and while the two were friendly. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 130:3-22, 135:16-136:7; Pelletier Decl. Ex.	277.
HH, pp. 184:3-185:20.] 278. SRT was an extra assignment in addition to Dunn's regular job at SED or Narcotics, with occasional training sessions [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 19:16-25, 25:14-19, 43:18-22.]	278.
279. Dunn did not report any of Anderson's comments to a supervisor, nor did he say anything about this to Anderson, despite being friendly with him. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 135:1-4; 137:23-25, Ex. HH, pp. 184:3-185:20.]	279.
280. Dunn also identified two comments made by Sgt. Dan Yadon. According to Dunn, when Yadon was being teased about almost hitting a woman in a crosswalk, Yadon said "Well its not my fault. She's Asian. She could barely see at night." and "Right Dunn. You can see right?" This was	280.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 74 -

1	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN
2	MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	in 2005 or 2006. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG,	
4	pp. 157:4-21, 158:10-13).]	
5	281. Dunn also says that in discussing a	281.
6	Chinese restaurant Sgt., Yadon asked "What	
7	you don't like your people's food?" and	
8	when told Dunn was Japanese said "Well, its	
9	all the same." [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp.	
10	155:20-156:7.]	·
11	282. Dunn additionally said that, on one	282.
12	occasion while Dunn was in SED and before	
13	July 2006, Yadon also imitated a famous	,
14 15	line—"Me love you long time"— of an	
16	Asian character from the movie "Full Metal	
17	Jacket" using the Asian character's accent.	
18	[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 159:9-24.]	
	283. Dunn testified that, in 2006, Officer	283.
19 20	Chris Racina told him, "You know, there's	
20 21	only been three Asian detectives that	
22	worked narcotics. One of them became a	
23	transvestite. The other one went insane."	
23	Dunn understood that he was the third one.	
25	[Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 162:8-163:1.]	
26	284. Dunn identified Officer Claudio	284.
27	Losacco as saying in 2003 that he did not	
28	like Dunn because he had come over from	
۵ ا		

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
the LAPD. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp.	
146:19-147:17 and 149:23-150:4]	
285. Dunn also claimed that Officer	285.
Losacco mimicked accents of blacks and	
Armenians, but not of Dunn or Asians	
generally. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp.	
150:5-152:6.]	
286. All of the race based comments Dun	n 286.
supposedly heard occurred before he was pr	ut
on administrative leave on April 17, 2007,	
over two years before he filed his DFEH	
Charge. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, p. 129:4	-
24).]	
287. Dunn never made any complaints	287.
against any BPD officer due to their racial	•
comments. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. B, pp.	
194:11-17, 197:6- 198:4, 203:23- 204:20,	
206:3-13).]	
288. Dunn says that on one occasion he	288.
raised with Sgt. Murphy a dispute between	
Dunn and Sgt. Yadon about workload and	
sharing of duties among team members,	
without raising racial concerns. [Pelletier	
Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 139:10-142:10.]	
289. Dunn never raised any harassment or	r 289.

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
3 4 5 6 7	discrimination issues during his investigation or termination, or made any other complaint to Stehr or Misquez until he filed his DFEH claim. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U, pp. 20-21; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]		
8 9 10 11 12	290. Dunn filed a charge with the Dept. of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") on May 27, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and ex. B thereto; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-69:24, and ex. 203(B) thereto.]	290.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	291. Dunn filed his government tort claim with the City on May 28, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and ex. B thereto (indicates hand delivery and stamped received on May 28, 2009); Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 70:11-71:8.] 292. Dunn filed this action on July 16,	291.	
202122	2009. [FAC, p. 1.] ISSUE 5—There is no dispute of material fact that the City is entitled to judgment on the sixth cause of action for Violations of the Public Safety Officers Procedural Bill of Rights		
232425	Act because plaintiff cannot present a triable issue of material fact showing a violation of any of his rights under that Act, or produce a timely government claim asserting these alleged facts or legal theory.		
26 27 28	293. Dunn was terminated from the BPD on July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U.]	293.	

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 77 -

	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	294. Dunn was terminated because, among other things cited in his Notice of Termination, Dunn had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation and in so doing violated California <i>Penal Code</i> § 148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked about this during the internal affairs investigation, and had violated a direct order not to discuss the investigation. [Stehr Decl., Ex. U, pp. 12-19.]	294.
	295. Dunn never raised any harassment or discrimination issues during his investigation or termination. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U, pp. 20-21; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]	295.
	296. At his deposition, Dunn admitted that he has no information to suggest that any of the outside agencies who reviewed the case, CCPD, LASD, or the District Attorney reached their conclusions based on his race. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp. 309:6-310:10.]	296.
3 1 5 7 3	297. Other than arguing that BPD's investigation was not as thorough as he would like, Dunn has no evidence that BPD's investigation or conclusion were racially biased. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. HH, pp.	297.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 78 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
311:11-324:8; 325:17-326:3; 329:25- 332:16.]	·
298. Neither the person who conducted the investigation of Dunn (Sgt. Misquez) and the person who made the decision to terminate him (Chief Stehr) had any knowledge of any complaint of discrimination, retaliation or harassment by Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 8; Misquez Decl., ¶ 9.]	298.
299. Dunn filed a charge with the Dept. of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") on May 27, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and ex. B thereto; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-69:24, and ex. 203(B) thereto.]	299.
300. Dunn filed his government tort claim with the City on May 28, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and ex. B thereto (indicates hand delivery and stamped received on May 28, 2009); Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 70:11-71:8.]	300.
301. Dunn filed this action on July 16, 2009. [FAC, p. 1.] 302. Neither Dunn's tort claim nor his DFEH claim make any mention of any claim under POBRA. Nor do they allege any	301. 302.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 79 -

1			
2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
3	facts that constitute a violation of POBRA.		
; ;	FAC ¶ 19, and ex. B thereto; Pelletier Decl.,		
	Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-69:24, and ex. 203(B)		
7	thereto and Ex. GG, pp. 70:11-71:8.]		
	303. Dunn's written discovery responses	303.	
	state that he has "no information or belief"		
	of any "other practices" in violation of		
	POBRA besides retaliation. [Pelletier Decl.,		
	Ex. LL (Spec. Rog. Resp. No. 106).]		
	ISSUE 6—The City is entitled to summary adjudication in its favor on one or more		
	causes of action pursuant to its eighteenth affirmative defense of the statute of		
	limitations.		
	304. On April 18, 2007, after interviewing	304.	
	Mercado and receiving independent		
	corroboration that Dunn had warned GD of		
	CCPD's investigation, Dunn was placed on		
	paid administrative leave while the		
	investigation continued. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 4,		
	Ex. P; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9-		
	60:6 and ex. 201 thereto.]		
	305. Thus, April 18, 2007 was the last day	305.	
	Dunn physically worked at a BPD facility or		
	in a BPD work environment. [Pelletier		
	Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9- 64:22 and ex. 201		
	thereto; Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. P.]		
•			

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

1			
	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
	306. Dunn was terminated from the	306.	
	BPD on July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr		
	Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. U.]	•	
	307. Dunn filed a charge with the Dept. of	307.	
	Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") on		
	May 27, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19, and ex. B		
	thereto; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 67:4-		
	69:24, and ex. 203(B) thereto.]		
	308. Dunn filed his government tort claim	308.	
	with the City on May 28, 2009. [FAC ¶ 19,		
	and ex. B thereto (indicates hand delivery		
	and stamped received on May 28, 2009);		
	Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 70:11-71:8.]		
	309. Dunn filed this action on July 16,	309.	
	2009. [FAC, p. 1.]		
	ISSUE 7—The City is entitled to summary adjudication in its favor on one or more		
	causes of action pursuant to its eleventh affirmative defense of failure to exhaust		
	administrative remedies.		
	310. Dunn was terminated from the BPD	310.	
	on July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr Decl., ¶		
	8, Ex. U.]		
	311. He thereafter commenced an internal	311.	
	administrative appeal, pursuant to the		
	Memorandum of Understanding between the		
l	City of Burbank and the Burbank Police		

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 81 -

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPO MATERIAL FACT AND SUPP EVIDENCE	
Officers' Association ("MOU") Decl., ¶¶ 4-5, Ex. II.]). [Pelletier
312. An arbitrator was selected picked for the hearing. The Cit counsel who prepared for the he on July 15, 2009, Dunn cancelle hearing and abandoned his integiving only a few day's notice. Decl., ¶ 4-6, Exs. JJ-KK.]	y engaged earing, but, ed the mal appeal,
313.	313.
	to summary adjudication in its favor on one or more ant to its nineteenth affirmative defense of privileges and
causes of action actions pursu	ant to its nineteenth affirmative defense of privileges and
causes of action actions pursu	n was 314. n while his ligated by O; Misquez cl., Ex. GG, ereto.]

MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Mercado and receiving independent corroboration that Dunn had warned GD of CCPD's investigation, Dunn was placed on paid administrative leave while the investigation continued. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 4,	
Ex. P; Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9-60:6 and ex. 201 thereto.]	
317. Thus, April 18, 2007 was the last day Dunn physically worked at a BPD facility or in a BPD work environment. [Pelletier Decl., Ex. GG, pp. 59:9-64:22 and ex. 201 thereto; Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. P.]	317.
318. BPD's preliminary investigation revealed that Dunn may have engaged in illegal conduct. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 7; Stehr Decl., ¶ 4.]	318.
319. Therefore, in a letter dated May 8, 2007, then-Burbank Police Chief Thomas Hoefel asked LA County Sheriff Lee Baca, on behalf of BPD and CCPD Chief Don Pedersen, to conduct a criminal investigation into whether Dunn had warned GD about CCPD's investigation of her. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. Q.]	319.
320. BPD's internal investigation of Dunn	320.

LA:#4845-3053-4150 v1

- 83 -

2		MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5 6 7		was suspended pending the criminal investigation. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 7; Stehr Decl., Ex. U, p. 5.] 321. Sgt. Victor Lewandoski of the LASD conducted the criminal investigation,	321.
8 9 10 11 12 13		concluded that there was probable cause to believe Dunn had tipped GD and committed a crime, and presented the case to the District Attorney's Office for filing consideration on July 6, 2007. [Lewandowski Decl., Ex. A, ¶¶ 2-3.]	
14 15 16 17 18 19		322. Daniel Baker, the Deputy District Attorney assigned to the matter, felt that the case was strong and Dunn's conduct was an egregious, but declined to prosecute Dunn because of the privileges applicable to GD and JW as informants. [Baker Decl., ¶¶ 2-3.]	322.
20212223	:	323. Shortly thereafter, BPD resumed its administrative investigation of Dunn. [Misquez Decl., Ex. EE, p. 8).]	323.
24 25 26 27 28		324. Multiple witnesses were interviewed and numerous documents reviewed, including the LASD's criminal investigation and the phone records of Dunn, his family members, GD, CCPD and BPD personnel.	324.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 84 -

1 2	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3 4 5	Dunn was interviewed by internal affairs on December 18 and 27, 2007. [Misquez Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.]	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	325. The internal affairs investigation was completed on March 6, 2008, with Sgt. Gerardo Misquez concluding that, among other things, Dunn had tipped GD to CCPD's investigation and in so doing violated California <i>Penal Code</i> § 148(a)(1), had been untruthful when asked about this during the internal affairs investigation, and had violated a direct order not to discuss the investigation. [Misquez Decl., ¶¶ 2-10, Ex. EE, pp. 8-12.]	325.
	326. On May 9, 2008, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office issued a "Brady letter" to then-BPD Police Chief Tim Stehr regarding Dunn. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	326.
22232425262728	327. The letter stated that the District Attorney had determined that Dunn's conduct on and after March 11, 2007 constituted "an obstruction of justice, an act involving moral turpitude." [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	327.

LA #4845-3053-4150 v1

- 85 -

N	MOVING PARTY'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	OPPOSING PARTIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
3	28. The letter went on to state that, in	328.
b	ooth pending and closed cases involving	
	Dunn, the defense would have to be notified	
t	hat Dunn had tipped GD off to a pending	
c	criminal investigation of her by the CCPD.	
]	Stehr Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. T.]	
3	29. This would make Dunn ineffective as	329.
a	an investigating officer and prosecution	
v	witness, as his testimony could be readily	
i	mpeached. [Stehr Decl., ¶ 7 and Ex. U, pp.	
1	8-20; Baker Decl., ¶ 4.]	
3	330. Dunn was terminated from the BPD	330.
C	on July 17, 2008. [FAC ¶ 18; (Stehr Decl., ¶	
8	B, Ex. U.]	
3	331. Dunn was terminated because, among	331.
C	other things cited in his Notice of	
7	Termination,Dunn had tipped GD to	•
	CCPD's investigation and in so doing	
,	violated California Penal Code § 148(a)(1),	
l	nad been untruthful when asked about this	
c	during the internal affairs investigation, and	
l	nad violated a direct order not to discuss the	·
i	nvestigation. [Stehr Decl., Ex. U, pp. 12-	·
	[9,]	

28

1	Dated: May 12, 2010	Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP Kristin A. Pelletier
2		Kristin A. Pelletier
3		By: Trusty Gellel
5		Kristin A Pelletier
6		Attorneys for Defendant City of Burbank
7		
8		
9		
10		·
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		·
24		·
25		
26		
27		
28 ws &	LA #4845-3053-4150 v1	- 87 -
լթ		- U/ -

1 PROOF OF SERVICE BY PERSONAL DELIVERY 2 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California and am over the age of 4 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1511 W. Beverly Blvd., Los 5 Angeles, CA 90026. On May 12, 2010, I personally served the following document described as: 6 THE CITY OF BURBANK'S SEPARATE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF 7 DEFENDANT CITY OF BURBANK'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION 8 9 by delivering copies thereof to: 10 Solomon E. Gresen, Esq. 11 Law Offices of Rheuban & Gresen 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610 12 Encino, CA 91436 13 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above 14 is true and correct. 15 Executed on May 12, 2010, at Los Angeles, California. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

LA #4841-5131-9044 v1

PROOF OF SERVICE